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#### Abstract

Let $I=[0, d)$, where $d$ is finite or infinite. Let $W_{\rho}(x)=x^{\rho} \exp (-Q(x))$, where $\rho>-\frac{1}{2}$ and $Q$ is continuous and increasing on $I$, with limit $\infty$ at $d$. We obtain further bounds on the orthonormal polynomials associated with the weight $W_{\rho}^{2}$, finer spacing on their zeros, and estimates of their associated fundamental polynomials of Lagrange interpolation. In addition, we obtain weighted Markov and Bernstein inequalities.
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## 1. Introduction and results ${ }^{1}$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=[0, d), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<d \leqslant \infty$. Let $Q: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be continuous, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\exp (-Q) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]be such that all moments $\int_{I} x^{n} W(x) d x, n \geqslant 0$, exist. We call $W$ an exponential weight on $I$. For $\rho>-\frac{1}{2}$, we set
$$
W_{\rho}(x):=x^{\rho} W(x), \quad x \in I .
$$

The orthonormal polynomial of degree $n$ for $W^{2}$ is denoted by $p_{n}\left(W^{2}, x\right)$ or just $p_{n}(x)$. That for $W_{\rho}^{2}$ is denoted by $p_{n}\left(W_{\rho}^{2}, x\right)$ or just $p_{n, \rho}(x)$. Thus

$$
\int_{I} p_{n, \rho}(x) p_{m, \rho}(x) x^{2 \rho} W^{2}(x) d x=\delta_{m n}
$$

and

$$
p_{n, \rho}(x)=\gamma_{n, \rho} x^{n}+\cdots,
$$

where $\gamma_{n, \rho}=\gamma_{n}\left(W_{\rho}^{2}\right)>0$.
In the predecessor to this paper [3], we established bounds for $p_{n, \rho}$, estimates of the associated Christoffel functions, spacing of the zeros of the orthonormal polynomials, and restricted range inequalities. In this paper, we shall establish further bounds on the orthonormal polynomials, more precise spacing of their zeros, estimates for their fundamental polynomials, and Markov-Bernstein inequalities. We denote the zeros of $p_{n, \rho}$ by

$$
x_{n n}<x_{n-1, n}<\cdots<x_{2 n}<x_{1 n} .
$$

As in [3], we use results from [2] by defining an even weight $W^{*}$ corresponding to the one-sided weight $W$. Given $I$ and $W$ as in (1.1) and (1.2), let

$$
I^{*}:=(-\sqrt{d}, \sqrt{d})
$$

and for $x \in I^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{*}(x) & :=Q\left(x^{2}\right) \\
W^{*}(x) & :=\exp \left(-Q^{*}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that $f: I \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is quasi-increasing if there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
f(x) \leqslant C f(y), \quad 0<x<y<d
$$

Of course, any increasing function is quasi-increasing. The notation

$$
f(x) \sim g(x)
$$

means that there are positive constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ such that for the relevant range of $x$,

$$
C_{1} \leqslant f(x) / g(x) \leqslant C_{2}
$$

Similar notation is used for sequences and sequences of functions.
Throughout, $C, C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ denote positive constants independent of $n, x, t$ and polynomials $P$ of degree at most $n$. We write $C=C(\lambda), C \neq C(\lambda)$ to indicate dependence on,
or independence of, a parameter $\lambda$. The same symbol does not necessarily denote the same constant in different occurrences. We denote the polynomials of degree $\leqslant n$ by $\mathcal{P}_{n}$.

Following is our class of weights:
Definition 1.1. Let $W=e^{-Q}$ where $Q: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfies the following properties:
(a) $\sqrt{x} Q^{\prime}(x)$ is continuous in $I$, with limit 0 at 0 and $Q(0)=0$;
(b) $Q^{\prime \prime}$ exists in $(0, d)$, while $Q^{* \prime \prime}$ is positive in $(0, \sqrt{d})$;
(c)

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow d-} Q(x)=\infty
$$

(d) The function

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x):=\frac{x Q^{\prime}(x)}{Q(x)}, \quad x \in(0, d) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is quasi-increasing in $(0, d)$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x) \geqslant \Lambda>\frac{1}{2}, \quad x \in(0, d) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) There exists $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|Q^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|}{Q^{\prime}(x)} \leqslant C_{1} \frac{Q^{\prime}(x)}{Q(x)}, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in(0, d) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we write $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$. If also there exists a compact subinterval $J$ of $I^{*}$, and $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Q^{* \prime \prime}(x)}{\left|Q^{* \prime}(x)\right|} \geqslant C_{2} \frac{\left|Q^{* \prime}(x)\right|}{Q^{*}(x)}, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in I^{*} \backslash J \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we write $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$.
Remarks. See [3] for further orientation on this class of weights and this topic. Here are some examples of $Q$ that satisfy the above conditions:
(I)

$$
Q(x)=x^{\alpha}, \quad x \in[0, \infty)
$$

where $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$.
(II)

$$
Q(x)=\exp _{k}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)-\exp _{k}(0), \quad x \in[0, \infty)
$$

where $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$ and $k \geqslant 0$. Here we set

$$
\exp _{0}(x):=x
$$

and for $k \geqslant 1$,

$$
\exp _{k}(x)=\underbrace{\exp (\exp (\exp \cdots \exp (x)) \cdots)}_{k \text { times }}
$$

is the $k$ th iterated exponential.
(III) An example on the finite interval $I=[0,1)$ is

$$
Q(x)=\exp _{k}\left((1-x)^{-\alpha}\right)-\exp _{k}(1), \quad x \in[0,1)
$$

where $\alpha>0$ and $k \geqslant 0$.

One of the important descriptive quantities we need is the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff number $a_{t},[2,4,5]$, defined for $t>0$ as the positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{a_{t} u Q^{\prime}\left(a_{t} u\right)}{\sqrt{u(1-u)}} d u \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

One of our main results is:
Theorem 1.2. Let $\rho>-\frac{1}{2}, 0<\beta<1$, and let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$. Let $p_{n, \rho}(x)$ be the nth orthonormal polynomial for the weight $W_{\rho}^{2}$. Then uniformly for $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in I}\left|p_{n, \rho}(x)\right| W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho} \sim\left(\frac{n}{a_{n}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in\left[a_{\beta n}, d\right)}\left|p_{n, \rho}(x)\right| W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho} \sim a_{n}^{-1 / 2}\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 6} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$, these estimates hold with $\sim$ replaced by $\leqslant C$.
Remark. In [3], we proved the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in I}\left|p_{n, \rho}(x)\right| W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\left|\left(x+a_{n} n^{-2}\right)\left(a_{n}-x\right)\right|^{1 / 4} \sim 1, \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$.
Next, we turn to pointwise bounds on orthogonal polynomials and their derivatives. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{t}=\left(t T\left(a_{t}\right)\right)^{-2 / 3}, \quad t>0 \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{t}(x):= \begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{x+a_{t} t^{-2}}\left(a_{2 t}-x\right)}{t \sqrt{a_{t}-x+a_{t} \eta_{t}}}, & x \in\left[0, a_{t}\right]  \tag{1.12}\\ \varphi_{t}\left(a_{t}\right), & x>a_{t} \\ \varphi_{t}(0), & x<0\end{cases}
$$

Recall that the fundamental polynomials at the zeros of $p_{n, \rho}$ are polynomials $\ell_{j n} \in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ satisfying

$$
\ell_{j n}\left(x_{k n}\right)=\delta_{k j} .
$$

Theorem 1.3. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ and $\rho>-\frac{1}{2}$. There exists $n_{0}$ such that uniformly for $n \geqslant n_{0}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$,
(a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{j n}\left(a_{n}-x_{j n}\right)\right]^{-1 / 4} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n-1, \rho} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim a_{n}^{-1}\left[x_{j n}\left(a_{n}-x_{j n}\right)\right]^{1 / 4} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{x \in I}\left|\ell_{j n}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right| W_{\rho}^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim 1 ; \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) For $j \leqslant n-1$ and $x \in\left[x_{j+1, n}, x_{j n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right|(x) \sim & \min \left\{\left|x-x_{j n}\right|,\left|x-x_{j+1, n}\right|\right\} \\
& \times \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{-1}\left[x_{j n}\left(a_{n}-x_{j n}\right)\right]^{-1 / 4} \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

If we assume instead that $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$, then (a) holds with $\sim$ replaced by $\leqslant C$ and (b) holds with $\sim$ replaced by $\geqslant C$.

Concerning the spacing of the zeros, we prove
Theorem 1.4. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ and $\rho>-\frac{1}{2}$. Uniformly for $n \geqslant 1$ and $1 \leqslant j<n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n} \sim \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) . \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [3], we proved the upper bound implicit in (1.17), assuming that $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$.
Finally, we turn to Markov-Bernstein inequalities. For these, we need a modification of $\varphi_{t}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{t}^{\#}(x)=\sqrt{\frac{x}{x+a_{t} t^{-2}}} \varphi_{t}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{x}\left(a_{2 t}-x\right)}{t \sqrt{a_{t}-x+a_{t} \eta_{t}}}, \quad x \in\left[0, a_{t}\right] \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{t}^{\#}(x)=\varphi_{t}^{\#}\left(a_{t}\right), \quad x>a_{t} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.5 (Bernstein inequality). Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$. Let $0<p \leqslant \infty$ and let $\beta>-\frac{1}{p}$ if $p<\infty$ and $\beta \geqslant 0$ if $p=\infty$. Then for $n \geqslant 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$, and for some $C \neq C(n, P)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(P W)^{\prime}(x) \varphi_{n}^{\#}(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \leqslant C\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.6 (Markov inequality). Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$. Let $0<p \leqslant \infty, 0<\gamma<1$. Let $\beta>-\frac{1}{p}$ if $p<\infty$ and $\beta \geqslant 0$ if $p=\infty$. Then for $n \geqslant 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \leqslant C \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[a_{y n}, d\right)} \leqslant C \frac{n}{a_{n}} \sqrt{T\left(a_{n}\right)}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} . \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T\left(a_{n}\right) \ll n^{2}$, we see from the last two inequalities, the special role played by 0 : the rate of growth of $P^{\prime} W$ can be far larger near 0 than near $a_{n}$. We shall show that (1.21) is sharp as regards the rate of growth in $n$, at least in $L_{2}$ and for $\beta=0$. More precisely, in Section 7, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{n}^{\prime \prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)} \sim \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}}\left\|p_{n}^{\prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)}, \quad n \geqslant 1 \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that all the above results are valid under weaker conditions on $W$. All we need is that $W^{*}$ satisfies the conditions for the corresponding result in [2]. However, for simplicity, we use just one class of weights in this paper. We note too that for the case where $Q$ is of polynomial growth on $I=[0, \infty)$, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 follow from results of Kasuga and Sakai [1].

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we list technical estimates. In Section 3, we prove the Markov-Bernstein inequalities of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6. In Section 4, we estimate a certain function $A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x)$. In Section 5, we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3(a), (b). In Section 6, we prove Theorems 1.3(c), (d) and 1.4. Finally in Section 7, we prove (1.23).

Finally, we illustrate some of the results above on specific weights. Throughout $p, \rho, \beta$ are as in Theorem 1.6.

Example 1. Let $I=[0, \infty), \alpha>\frac{1}{2}$ and

$$
Q(x)=x^{\alpha}, \quad x \in[0, \infty)
$$

In this special case

$$
a_{t}=\left(\sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right)^{1 / \alpha} t^{1 / \alpha}
$$

and

$$
\eta_{t}=(\alpha t)^{-2 / 3}, \quad t>0
$$

(I) The Markov inequality takes the following form: for $n \geqslant 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$,

$$
\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(0, \infty)} \leqslant C n^{2-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(0, \infty)}
$$

Moreover, given $0<\gamma<1$,

$$
\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{y n}, \infty\right)} \leqslant C n^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(0, \infty)}
$$

(II) The sup norm bound on the orthonormal polynomials takes the form

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(0, \infty)} \sim n^{\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right]} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}\left(a_{\gamma n}, \infty\right)} \sim n^{-\frac{1}{2 \alpha}+\frac{1}{6}} .
$$

Example 2. Let $I=[0, \infty), k \geqslant 1$ and $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$. Let

$$
Q(x)=\exp _{k}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)-\exp _{k}(0), \quad x \in[0, \infty)
$$

We also need the $j$ th iterated $\operatorname{logarithm:~let~} \log _{0}(x):=x$ and for $j \geqslant 1$,

$$
\log _{j}(x)=\underbrace{\log (\log (\log \cdots \log (x)))}_{j \text { times }}, \quad x>\exp _{j-1}(0)
$$

Here as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}=\left(\log _{k} n\right)^{1 / \alpha}(1+o(1)), \\
& T\left(a_{n}\right) \sim \prod_{j=1}^{k} \log _{j} n \\
& \eta_{n} \sim\left(n \prod_{j=1}^{k} \log _{j} n\right)^{-2 / 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

(I) The Markov inequality takes the following form: for $n \geqslant \exp _{k}(1)$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$,

$$
\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(0, \infty)} \leqslant C \frac{n^{2}}{\left(\log _{k} n\right)^{1 / \alpha}}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(0, \infty)}
$$

Moreover, for $n \geqslant \exp _{k}(1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{y n}, \infty\right)} \\
& \quad \leqslant C \frac{n}{\left(\log _{k} n\right)^{1 / \alpha}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k} \log _{j} n\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(0, \infty)}
\end{aligned}
$$

(II) The sup norm bound on the orthonormal polynomials takes the form

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(0, \infty)} \sim n^{1 / 2}\left(\log _{k} n\right)^{-1 /(2 \alpha)} .
$$

Moreover, for $n \geqslant \exp _{k}(1)$,

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}\left(a_{y n}, \infty\right)} \sim\left(\log _{k} n\right)^{-1 /(2 \alpha)}\left(n \prod_{j=1}^{k} \log _{j} n\right)^{1 / 6} .
$$

Example 3. Let $I=[0,1), \alpha>0$, and

$$
Q(x)=(1-x)^{-\alpha}-1, \quad x \in[0,1) .
$$

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-a_{n} \sim n^{-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}\right)}, \\
& T\left(a_{n}\right) \sim n^{\frac{1}{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}}, \\
& \eta_{n} \sim n^{-\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{2 \alpha+3}{2 \alpha+1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(I) The Markov inequality takes the following form: for $n \geqslant 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$,

$$
\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}[0,1]} \leqslant C n^{2}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}[0,1]}
$$

Moreover, given $0<\gamma<1$,

$$
\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[a_{\gamma n}, 1\right]} \leqslant C n^{\frac{2 \alpha+2}{2 \alpha+1}}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}[0,1]} .
$$

(II) The sup norm bound on the orthonormal polynomials takes the form

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}[0,1]} \sim n^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}\left[a_{y n}, 1\right]} \sim n^{\frac{2 x+3}{2 x+1} \frac{1}{6}} .
$$

Example 4. Let $I=[0,1), k \geqslant 1$ and $\alpha>0$. Let

$$
Q(x)=\exp _{k}\left((1-x)^{-\alpha}\right)-\exp _{k}(1), \quad x \in[0,1)
$$

Here as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-a_{n}=\left(\log _{k} n\right)^{-1 / \alpha}(1+o(1)), \\
& T\left(a_{n}\right) \sim\left(\log _{k} n\right)^{1+1 / \alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \log _{j} n \\
& \eta_{n} \sim\left(n\left(\log _{k} n\right)^{1+1 / \alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \log _{j} n\right)^{-2 / 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

(I) The Markov inequality takes the following form: assume that $p, \beta$ are as above. Then for $n \geqslant 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$,

$$
\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}[0,1]} \leqslant C n^{2}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}[0,1]}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[a_{y n}, 1\right]} \\
& \quad \leqslant C n\left(\left(\log _{k} n\right)^{1+1 / \alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \log _{j} n\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}[0,1]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(II) The sup norm bound on the orthonormal polynomials takes the form

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}[0,1]} \sim n^{1 / 2}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left\|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}\left[a_{y n}, 1\right]} \sim\left(n\left(\log _{k} n\right)^{1+1 / \alpha} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \log _{j} n\right)^{1 / 6}
$$

## 2. Technical estimates

The classes $\mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ are defined in such a way that $W^{*}$ becomes part of the corresponding classes $\mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ in [2, p. 7]. In [3, Lemma 2.2] we proved that

$$
W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow W^{*} \in \mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right) \Leftrightarrow W^{*} \in \mathcal{F}\left(C^{2}+\right)
$$

Thus we can apply results from [2] to $W^{*}$. We denote the (positive) Mhaskar-RakhmanovSaff number for $W^{*}$ by $a_{t}^{*}$. In [3, Eq. (2.6)] we showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t / 2}=a_{t}^{* 2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall also use the quantity $\eta_{t}=\left(t T\left(a_{t}\right)\right)^{-2 / 3}$, and its analogue for $Q^{*}$

$$
\eta_{t}^{*}=\left\{t T^{*}\left(a_{t}^{*}\right)\right\}^{-2 / 3}
$$

where

$$
T^{*}(x)=x \frac{Q^{* \prime}(x)}{Q^{*}(x)}=2 T\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

We note that [3, (2.9)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{2 t}^{*}=4^{-2 / 3} \eta_{t} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$.
(a) Uniformly for $t>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}\left(a_{t}\right) \sim \frac{t}{a_{t}} \sqrt{T\left(a_{t}\right)} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For fixed $L>1$ and uniformly for $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{L t} \sim a_{t} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Fix $L>0$. Then uniformly for $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}\left(a_{L t}\right) \sim Q^{\prime}\left(a_{t}\right), \quad T\left(a_{L t}\right) \sim T\left(a_{t}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{L t} \sim \eta_{t} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) For some $\varepsilon>0$, and for large enough $t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(a_{t}\right) \leqslant C t^{2-\varepsilon} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{t} T\left(a_{t}\right) \leqslant C t^{-\varepsilon}=o(1) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. See [3, Lemma 3.1].
Some further estimates involving $a_{t}$ :
Lemma 2.2. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$.
(a) We have for $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1-\frac{a_{t}}{a_{s}}\right| \sim \frac{1}{T\left(a_{t}\right)}\left|1-\frac{t}{s}\right|, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leqslant \frac{s}{t} \leqslant 2 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Given fixed $L>1$, we have uniformly for $t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1-\frac{a_{L t}}{a_{t}}\right| \sim \frac{1}{T\left(a_{t}\right)} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) For $x \in\left[0, a_{t}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}(x) \leqslant \frac{C t}{\sqrt{x\left(a_{t}-x\right)}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) Assume also $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ and let $L>1$. There exist $C$ and $t_{0}$ such that for $t \geqslant t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{L t} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{L t}\right)}{a_{t} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{t}\right)} \geqslant 1+C \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a), (b) See [3, Lemma 3.2].
(c) See [3, Lemma 3.3].
(d) Note that (cf. (2.1))

$$
\frac{\sqrt{a_{L t}} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{L t}\right)}{\sqrt{a_{t}} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{t}\right)}=\frac{Q^{* \prime}\left(a_{2 L t}^{*}\right)}{Q^{* \prime}\left(a_{2 t}^{*}\right)} \geqslant 1+C
$$

by Proposition 13.1 in [3, pp. 359-360]. Since $a_{L t} \geqslant a_{t}$, we then obtain (2.11).
Next, a lemma on the functions $\varphi_{m}$ and $\varphi_{m}^{\#}$. We shall also sometimes need the corresponding function for $W^{*}$, which we denote by $\varphi_{m}^{*}$. This is defined in $\left[-a_{m}^{*}, a_{m}^{*}\right][2$, p. 19] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{m}^{*}(x)=\frac{\left|x^{2}-a_{2 m}^{2 *}\right|}{m \sqrt{\left|x+a_{m}^{*}\right|+a_{m}^{*} \eta_{m}^{*}} \sqrt{\left|x-a_{m}^{*}\right|+a_{m}^{*} \eta_{m}^{*}}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to be constant in $\left(-\infty,-a_{m}^{*}\right]$ and $\left[a_{m}^{*}, \infty\right)$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$.
(a) For $x \in\left[0, a_{m}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2 m}^{*}(\sqrt{x}) \sim \frac{\varphi_{m}(x)}{\sqrt{x+a_{m} m^{-2}}}=\frac{\varphi_{m}^{\#}(x)}{\sqrt{x}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $C>0$. Uniformly for $m$ and $n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|1-\frac{m}{n}\right| \leqslant C T\left(a_{n}\right) \eta_{n} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have uniformly for $x \in I$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}(x) \sim \varphi_{m}(x) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, uniformly for $x \in I^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}^{*}(x) \sim \varphi_{m}^{*}(x) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) For $n \geqslant 1$ and $x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, d\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}^{\#}(x) \leqslant C x . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) Let $L>0,0<\beta<1$. Then uniformly for $n \geqslant 1$ and $x \in\left[a_{n} / n^{2}, a_{\beta n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}(x)\left[x\left(a_{n}\left[1+L \eta_{n}\right]-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \sim \frac{x\left(a_{n}-x\right)}{n} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1), L>0$. Then uniformly for $n \geqslant 1$ and $x \in\left[a_{\varepsilon n}, a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}(x)\left[x\left(a_{n}\left[1+L \eta_{n}\right]-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \sim \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right)} \sim \frac{x\left(a_{z n}-x\right)}{n} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(f) Uniformly for $n \geqslant 1,1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$, and $x \in\left[x_{j+1, n}, x_{j n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim \varphi_{n}(x) . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) Since $a_{2 m}^{* 2}=a_{m}$, we see that in $\left[0, a_{m}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{2 m}^{*}(\sqrt{x}) & =\frac{\left|x-a_{2 m}\right|}{m \sqrt{\left|\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{a_{m}}\right|+\sqrt{a_{m}} \eta_{2 m}^{*}} \sqrt{\left|\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{a_{m}}\right|+\sqrt{a_{m}} \eta_{2 m}^{*}}} \\
& \sim \frac{a_{2 m}-x}{m \sqrt{a_{m}-x+a_{m} \eta_{m}}} \sim \frac{\varphi_{m}(x)}{\sqrt{x+a_{m} m^{-2}}}=\frac{\varphi_{m}^{\#}(x)}{\sqrt{x}},
\end{aligned}
$$

by (1.12) and (1.18).
(b) Firstly [2, Lemma 9.7, p. 264] gives (2.16). Using (a), we obtain in [0, $a_{n}$ ],

$$
\frac{\varphi_{m}(x)}{\sqrt{x+a_{m} m^{-2}}} \sim \frac{\varphi_{n}(x)}{\sqrt{x+a_{n} n^{-2}}} .
$$

Here (2.14) and (2.7) show that $1-\frac{m}{n} \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $m \sim n$, so $a_{m} \sim a_{n}$. Then (2.15) follows in $\left[0, a_{n}\right]$. Since we may assume $m \geqslant n$ and $\varphi_{n}$ and $\varphi_{m}$ are constant outside $\left[0, a_{n}\right]$ and $\left[0, a_{m}\right]$, respectively, we obtain (2.15) in $I$.
(c) Now in $\left[0, a_{n}\right]$, we see from (1.18) and then (2.9), (2.7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\varphi_{n}^{\#}(x)}{x} & \sim \frac{a_{2 n}-x}{n \sqrt{x} \sqrt{a_{n}-x+a_{n} \eta_{n}}} \\
& \sim \begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{a_{n}-x}}{n \sqrt{x}}, & x \in\left[0, a_{n / 2}\right] \\
\frac{\sqrt{a_{n}}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right) \sqrt{a_{n}-x+a_{n} \eta_{n}}}, & x \in\left[a_{n / 2}, a_{n}\right]\end{cases} \\
& \leqslant C \begin{cases}\frac{\sqrt{a_{n}}}{n \sqrt{x}}, & x \in\left[0, a_{n / 2}\right] \\
\frac{1}{n T\left(a_{n}\right) \sqrt{\eta_{n}}}, & x \in\left[a_{n / 2}, a_{n}\right]\end{cases} \\
& \leqslant C, \quad x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right], \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

recall that $\eta_{n}=\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{-2 / 3}=o(1)$. Since $\varphi_{n}^{\#}(x)=\varphi_{n}^{\#}\left(a_{n}\right), x \geqslant a_{n}$, this inequality persists in $\left[a_{n}, d\right)$.
(d) For this range of $x$,

$$
\left|x-a_{2 n}\right| \sim\left|x-a_{n}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad a_{n}-x+a_{n} \eta_{n} \sim a_{n}-x,
$$

while $x+a_{n} / n^{2} \sim x$, so (2.18) follows easily from (1.12).
(e) For this range of $x$,

$$
\left|x-a_{2 n}\right| \sim \frac{a_{n}}{T\left(a_{n}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad x \sim a_{n}
$$

and so at least in $\left[0, a_{n}\right]$,

$$
\varphi_{n}(x) \sim \frac{a_{n}^{3 / 2}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{n}-x+a_{n} n^{-2}}}
$$

whence

$$
\varphi_{n}(x)\left[x\left(a_{n}\left[1+2 L \eta_{n}\right]-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \sim \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right)}
$$

This persists in $\left[a_{n}, a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right]$, since $\varphi_{n}$ is constant there.
This follows from (7.14) (and its preceding lines) and Lemma 4.3 in [3].
Next, we restate some restricted range inequalities from [3]. For $t \geqslant 0$, we denote by $\mathbb{P}_{t}$ the set of all functions of the form

$$
P(z)=c \exp \left(\int \log |z-\xi| d v(\xi)\right)
$$

where $v \geqslant 0, v(\mathbb{C}) \leqslant t, c \geqslant 0$, and the support of $v$ is compact. These are the exponentials of potentials of measures of mass $\leqslant t$. In particular if $t \geqslant n$, then $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n} \Rightarrow|P| \in \mathbb{P}_{t}$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$. Let $0<p \leqslant \infty, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, and $L, \lambda \geqslant 0$. Let $\beta>-\frac{1}{p}$ if $p<\infty$ and $\beta \geqslant 0$ if $p=\infty$.
(a) There exist $C_{1}, t_{0}$ such that for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}_{t}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \leqslant C_{1}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[L a_{t} t^{-2}, a_{t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{t}\right)\right]} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Given $r>1$, we have for some $C, t_{0}, \alpha>0$ and $t \geqslant t_{0}$, and $P \in \mathbb{P}_{t}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{r t}, d\right)} \leqslant \exp \left(-C t^{\alpha}\right)\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(0, a_{t}\right)} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) There exist $C_{1}, t_{0}>0$ such that for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ and $P \in \mathbb{P}_{t}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|(P W)(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{t}}{t^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \\
& \quad \leqslant C_{1}\left\|(P W)(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{t}}{t^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[L a_{t} t^{-2}, a_{t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{t}\right)\right]} \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (a), (b) See [3, Theorem 5.2].
(c) In [3, Lemma 8.7], we proved that

$$
\left\|(P W)(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{t}}{t^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \leqslant C_{1}\left\|(P W)(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{t}}{t^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[L a_{t} t^{-2}, a_{2 t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{2 t}\right)\right]} .
$$

So it suffices to estimate $\left\|(P W)(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{t}}{t^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[a_{t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{t}\right), a_{2 t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{2 t}\right)\right] \text {. We see that it is }}$ bounded by a constant times $\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[a_{t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{t}\right), a_{2 t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{2 t}\right)\right]}$ and if $\sigma \geqslant 0$, we can apply (a) of this lemma to deduce (2.24). If instead $\sigma<0$, we use

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|(P W)(x) x^{\sigma} \|_{L_{p}\left[a_{t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{t}\right), a_{2 t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{2 t}\right)\right]} \\
& \quad \leqslant C a_{t}^{\sigma}\|(P W)(x)\|_{L_{p}\left[a_{t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{t}\right), a_{2 t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{2 t}\right)\right]} \\
& \quad \leqslant C a_{t}^{\sigma}\|(P W)(x)\|_{L_{p}\left[L a_{t} t^{-2}, a_{t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{t}\right)\right]} \\
& \leqslant C\left\|(P W)(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{t}}{t^{2}}\right)^{\sigma}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[L a_{t} t^{-2}, a_{t}\left(1-\lambda \eta_{t}\right)\right]},
\end{aligned}
$$

by first (a) of this lemma and then as $\sigma<0$.
Finally, we need polynomials that behave like $x^{\rho}$ :
Lemma 2.5. Let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $L \in(0,1)$. For $n \geqslant 1$, there exist polynomials $R_{n}$ of degree $\leqslant n$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{n}(x) \sim\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}, \quad x \in\left[0, a_{2 n}\right],  \tag{2.25}\\
& \left|R_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant C x^{\rho-1}, \quad x \in\left[L a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{2 n}\right] . \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. See [3, Lemma 6.3].

## 3. Markov-Bernstein inequalities

We begin by proving:
Lemma 3.1. Let $k$ be a non-negative integer and $0<p \leqslant \infty$. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$. Then for $n \geqslant 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(P W)^{\prime}(y) \varphi_{n}^{\#}(y) y^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \leqslant C\left\|(P W)(y) y^{\frac{k}{2}-\frac{1}{2 p}}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us suppose that $p<\infty,(p=\infty$ is simpler $)$ and let

$$
R(x):=x^{k} P\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

By [2, Theorem 10.1(a), p. 293],

$$
\left\|\left(R W^{*}\right)^{\prime} \varphi_{2 n+k}^{*}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(I^{*}\right)} \leqslant C\left\|R W^{*}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(I^{*}\right)} .
$$

Since

$$
\left(R W^{*}\right)^{\prime}(x)=2 x^{k+1}(P W)^{\prime}\left(x^{2}\right)+k x^{k-1}(P W)\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

we obtain after a substitution $x=\sqrt{y}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{I}\left|2 y^{\frac{k+1}{2}}(P W)^{\prime}(y)+k y^{\frac{k-1}{2}}(P W)(y)\right|^{p} \varphi_{2 n+k}^{*}(\sqrt{y})^{p} \frac{d y}{\sqrt{y}} \\
& \quad \leqslant C \int_{I}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p}{2}} \frac{d y}{\sqrt{y}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here by Lemma 2.3(b),

$$
\varphi_{2 n+k}^{*}(\sqrt{y}) \sim \varphi_{2 n}^{*}(\sqrt{y})
$$

while by Lemma 2.3(a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2 n}^{*}(\sqrt{y}) \sim \frac{\varphi_{n}(y)}{\sqrt{\min \left\{y, a_{n}\right\}+a_{n} n^{-2}}} \sim \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\#}(y)}{\sqrt{\min \left\{y, a_{n}\right\}}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $n \geqslant 1$ and $y \in I$. (For $y \geqslant a_{n}$, this follows by constancy of $\varphi_{n}$ and so on.) Then we obtain from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{I}\left|(P W)^{\prime} \varphi_{n}^{\#}(y)\right|^{p} y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}} d y \\
& \leqslant C \int_{I}\left|y^{\frac{k+1}{2}}(P W)^{\prime}(y) \varphi_{2 n+k}^{*}(\sqrt{y})\right|^{p} \frac{d y}{\sqrt{y}} \\
& \leqslant C \int_{I}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}} d y \\
&+C k^{p} \int_{I}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}^{\#}(y)}{\sqrt{y} \sqrt{\min \left\{y, a_{n}\right\}}}\right)^{p} d y \\
& \leqslant C \int_{I}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}} d y \\
&+C k^{p} \int_{0}^{a_{n} n^{-2}}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}^{\#}(y)}{y}\right)^{p} d y \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

by Lemma 2.3(c), and since $\varphi_{n}^{\#}(y)=\varphi_{n}^{\#}\left(a_{n}\right), y \geqslant a_{n}$. Of course if $k=0$, the second term vanishes. We now assume that $k \geqslant 1$. From (2.21) in the proof of Lemma 2.3(c), we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{a_{n} n^{-2}}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}^{\#}(y)}{y}\right)^{p} d y \\
& \quad \leqslant C \int_{0}^{a_{n} n^{-2}}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_{n}}}{n \sqrt{y}}\right)^{p} d y \\
& \quad \leqslant C \int_{a_{n} n^{-2}}^{a_{n}}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_{n}}}{n \sqrt{y}}\right)^{p} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

by our restricted range inequality Lemma 2.4(a). This is applicable since $k \geqslant 1$, so that

$$
\frac{k p-1}{2}-\frac{p}{2} \geqslant-\frac{1}{2}>-1 .
$$

We continue this as

$$
\int_{0}^{a_{n} n^{-2}}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}^{\#}(y)}{y}\right)^{p} d y \leqslant C \int_{a_{n} n^{-2}}^{a_{n}}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}} d y
$$

This and (3.4) give the result.
Now we can prove a preliminary form of Theorem 1.5. There are no restrictions on the power $x^{\beta}$ here, so the result has some independent interest.

Theorem 3.2. Let $0<p \leqslant \infty, L>0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$. Then for $n \geqslant 1$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$, and for some $C \neq C(n, P)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(P W)^{\prime}(x) \varphi_{n}^{\#}(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(L a_{n} n^{-2}, d\right)} \leqslant C\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right)} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume $p<\infty$. The case $p=\infty$ is easier. We split

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{L a_{n} n^{-2}}^{d}\left|(P W)^{\prime} \varphi_{n}^{\#}\right|^{p}(y) y^{\beta p} d y \\
& \quad=\left(\int_{L a_{n} n^{-2}}^{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}+\int_{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}^{d}\right)\left|(P W)^{\prime} \varphi_{n}^{\#}\right|^{p}(y) y^{\beta p} d y \\
& \quad=: I_{1}+I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\beta p=-\frac{1}{2}+\rho p
$$

and let $\left\{R_{n}\right\}$ be the polynomials from Lemma 2.5, satisfying (2.25) and (2.26). Note that $P R_{n}$ has degree at most $2 n$. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}= & \int_{L a_{n} n^{-2}}^{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}\left|(P W)^{\prime} \varphi_{n}^{\#}\right|^{p}(y) y^{\beta p} d y \\
\leqslant & C \int_{L a_{n} n^{-2}}^{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}\left|(P W)^{\prime} \varphi_{n}^{\#}\right|^{p}(y) R_{n}^{p}(y) y^{-\frac{1}{2}} d y \\
= & C \int_{L a_{n} n^{-2}}^{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}\left|\left[\left(P R_{n} W\right)^{\prime}-P W R_{n}^{\prime}\right] \varphi_{n}^{\#}\right|^{p}(y) y^{-\frac{1}{2}} d y \\
\leqslant & C \int_{L a_{n} n^{-2}}^{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}\left|\left(P R_{n} W\right)^{\prime} \varphi_{2 n}^{\#}\right|^{p}(y) y^{-\frac{1}{2}} d y \\
& +C \int_{L a_{n} n^{-2}}^{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}\left|P W R_{n}^{\prime} \varphi_{n}^{\#}\right|^{p}(y) y^{-\frac{1}{2}} d y \\
\leqslant & C \int_{I}\left|P R_{n} W\right|^{p}(y) y^{-1 / 2} d y \\
& +C \int_{L a_{n} n^{-2}}^{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}|P W|^{p}(y)\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}^{\#}(y)}{y}\right)^{p} y^{\beta p} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 3.1 with $k=0$, since $\varphi_{2 n}^{\#} \sim \varphi_{n}^{\#}$ in $\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, \frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right]$ and by (2.26). Using our restricted range inequality Lemma 2.4(a) and using (2.25) and (2.17), we can continue this as

$$
I_{1} \leqslant C \int_{L a_{n} n^{-2}}^{a_{2 n}}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\beta p} d y
$$

Lemma 2.4(c) allows us to continue this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \leqslant C \int_{a_{n} n^{-2}}^{a_{n}}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\beta p} d y \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, to handle $I_{2}$, we choose a positive integer $k$ so large that

$$
\lambda:=\beta p-\frac{k p-1}{2}<0 .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =\int_{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}^{d}\left|(P W)^{\prime} \varphi_{n}^{\#}\right|^{p}(y) y^{\beta p} d y \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right)^{\lambda} \int_{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}^{d}\left|(P W)^{\prime} \varphi_{n}^{\#}\right|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}} d y \\
& \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right)^{\lambda} \int_{I}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 3.1. Using our restricted range inequality Lemma 2.4(a), and $\lambda<0$, we continue this as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leqslant C\left(\frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right)^{\lambda} \int_{a_{n} n^{-2}}^{a_{n}}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\frac{k p-1}{2}} d y \\
& \leqslant C \int_{a_{n} n^{-2}}^{a_{n}}|P W|^{p}(y) y^{\beta p} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Together this and (3.6) give (3.5).
Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$. We shall use Theorem 3.2 and treat $P$ as a polynomial of degree $\leqslant 2 n$. First write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x)\right| x^{\beta} \leqslant\left|(P W)^{\prime}(x) \varphi_{2 n}^{\#}(x) x^{\beta}\right| \varphi_{2 n}^{\#}(x)^{-1}+\left|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right| Q^{\prime}(x) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here in $\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right]$,

$$
\varphi_{2 n}^{\#}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{x}}{2 n} \frac{a_{4 n}-x}{\sqrt{a_{2 n}-x+a_{2 n} \eta_{2 n}}} \geqslant C \varphi_{2 n}^{\#}\left(a_{n} n^{-2}\right) \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}
$$

note that by (2.9) and (2.6),

$$
a_{4 n}-x \sim a_{2 n}-x+a_{2 n} \eta_{2 n} \geqslant a_{2 n}-a_{n} \sim \frac{a_{n}}{T\left(a_{n}\right)} \gg \frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}} .
$$

Also by (2.10), in $\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right]$,

$$
Q^{\prime}(x) \leqslant \frac{C n}{\sqrt{x\left(a_{2 n}-x\right)}} \leqslant C \max \left\{\frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}}, \frac{n \sqrt{T\left(a_{n}\right)}}{a_{n}}\right\} \sim \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}},
$$

by (2.6). Our restricted range inequality Lemma 2.4(a), followed by (3.7), give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \leqslant C\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right]} \\
& \quad \leqslant C \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}}\left(\left\|(P W)^{\prime}(x) \varphi_{2 n}^{\#}(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right]}+\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right]}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant C \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}}\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)},
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorem 3.2. Thus we have (1.21). The proof of (1.22) is similar: in $\left[a_{\gamma n}, d\right)$,

$$
\varphi_{2 n}^{\#}(x) \sim \varphi_{2 n}(x) \geqslant C \varphi_{2 n}\left(a_{\gamma n}\right) \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n \sqrt{T\left(a_{n}\right)}}
$$

while in $\left[a_{\gamma n}, a_{n}\right]$ (cf. (2.3)),

$$
Q^{\prime}(x) \sim Q^{\prime}\left(a_{n}\right) \sim \frac{n}{a_{n}} \sqrt{T\left(a_{n}\right)} .
$$

Finally, we turn to:
Proof of Theorem 1.5. In view of Theorem 3.2, we need only estimate the norm over $\left(0, a_{n} n^{-2}\right)$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|(P W)^{\prime}(x) \varphi_{n}^{\#}(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(0, a_{n} n^{-2}\right)} \\
& \leqslant \\
& \leqslant C\left[\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) \varphi_{n}^{\#}(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(0, a_{n} n^{-2}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|(P W)(x) Q^{\prime}(x) \varphi_{n}^{\#}(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(0, a_{n} n^{-2}\right)}\right] \\
& \quad= \\
& \quad C\left[I_{1}+I_{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}^{\#}(x) \sim \frac{\sqrt{a_{n} x}}{n} \leqslant C \frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}, \quad x \in\left[0, \frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right] . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.21) gives

$$
I_{1} \leqslant C \frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\left\|\left(P^{\prime} W\right)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} \leqslant C\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)}
$$

Next, by (3.8) and then (2.10), for $x \in\left[0, a_{n} n^{-2}\right]$,

$$
Q^{\prime}(x) \varphi_{n}^{\#}(x) \leqslant C \frac{n}{\sqrt{a_{n} x}} \frac{\sqrt{a_{n} x}}{n}=C .
$$

So

$$
I_{2} \leqslant C\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} .
$$

Thus we have shown that

$$
\left\|(P W)^{\prime}(x) \varphi_{n}^{\#}(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}\left(0, a_{n} n^{-2}\right)} \leqslant C\left\|(P W)(x) x^{\beta}\right\|_{L_{p}(I)} .
$$

This and Theorem 3.2 give the result.

## 4. Estimation of $A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x)$

We now estimate the function

$$
A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x)=\frac{2}{x} \int_{I}\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) \overline{Q(x, t)} d t
$$

where

$$
\overline{Q(x, t)}=\frac{x Q^{\prime}(x)-t Q^{\prime}(t)}{x-t}
$$

It plays a key role in estimation of $p_{n, \rho}(x)$. Using our bound (1.10) for $p_{n, \rho}$ we shall prove:

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$, that $\rho>-\frac{1}{2}$ and let $L>1$. Then $\exists C, n_{0}>0$ such that for $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and $x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2} / L, a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x) \sim \varphi_{n}(x)^{-1}\left[x\left(a_{n}\left(1+2 L \eta_{n}\right)-x\right)\right]^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume instead that $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$, this holds with $\sim$ replaced by $\leqslant C$.
Proof of the upper bound in (4.1). We fix $M>1, \varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and set

$$
\mathcal{J}_{n}:=\left[\frac{a_{n}}{M n^{2}}, \varepsilon a_{n}\right] .
$$

We assume, as in [3, Eq. (8.18)] that $M$ is large enough so that

$$
x_{n n, \rho}>\frac{a_{n}}{M n^{2}} .
$$

Let, as in [3, Eqs. (8.20)-(8.21)],

$$
\Psi_{n}(x):=\left(p_{n, \rho} W\right)^{2}(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{2 \rho}\left|\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)\left(a_{n}-x\right)\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
\Theta_{n}(x):=A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x) \varphi_{n}(x)\left|x\left(a_{n}-x\right)\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

We distinguish two ranges of $x$ :
(I) Upper bounds for $x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2} / M, \varepsilon a_{n}\right]$

We note that for this range of $x$,

$$
x\left(a_{n}\left(1+2 L \eta_{n}\right)-x\right) \sim x\left(a_{n}-x\right)
$$

with constants in $\sim$ independent of $n$ and $x$. Then

$$
A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x) \varphi_{n}(x)\left[x\left(a_{n}\left(1+2 L \eta_{n}\right)-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \sim \Theta_{n}(x)
$$

By Lemma 8.6 in [3], for some $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\left\|\Theta_{n}\right\|_{L_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{J}_{n}\right)} \leqslant C+\left\|\Psi_{n}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(I)} \leqslant C_{1},
$$

by (1.10). So choosing $M \geqslant L$, we have the upper bound implicit in (4.1) for this range of $x$.
(II) Upper Bounds for $x \in\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right]$

Write

$$
a_{n}\left(1+2 L \eta_{n}\right)=a_{m}
$$

so that

$$
1-\frac{a_{n}}{a_{m}} \sim \eta_{n}
$$

We choose $m$ in this way to ensure that for some small enough $\alpha, \beta$ and large enough $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\beta a_{m} m^{-2}, a_{m}\left(1-\alpha \eta_{m}\right)\right] \supseteq\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right] . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.8) and (2.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{n}{m} \sim T\left(a_{n}\right)\left(1-\frac{a_{n}}{a_{m}}\right) \sim T\left(a_{n}\right) \eta_{n} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, for $x \in\left[0, a_{n}\left(1-\eta_{n}\right)\right]$, we have

$$
\left|a_{m}-x\right| \sim\left|a_{n}-x\right|
$$

so (1.10) gives the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\right|\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\left|x\left(a_{m}-x\right)\right|^{1 / 4} \leqslant C . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By our restricted range inequality Lemma 2.4(a), this then holds throughout $I$. We split

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x) & =\frac{2}{x}\left[\int_{0}^{a_{n} n^{-2}}+\int_{a_{n} n^{-2}}^{a_{m}}+\int_{a_{m}}^{a_{2 n}}+\int_{a_{2 n}}^{d}\right]\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) \overline{Q(x, t)} d t \\
& =: I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Estimation of $I_{1}$

For $t \in\left[0, a_{n} n^{-2}\right]$, the monotonicity of $u Q^{\prime}(u)$ gives

$$
\overline{Q(x, t)} \leqslant C Q^{\prime}(x)
$$

so our bound (1.10) on $p_{n, \rho}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leqslant C \frac{Q^{\prime}(x)}{x} \int_{0}^{a_{n} n^{-2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(t+a_{n} n^{-2}\right)\left(a_{n}-t\right)}}\left(\frac{t}{t+a_{n} n^{-2}}\right)^{2 \rho} d t \\
& \leqslant C \frac{Q^{\prime}(x)}{x n} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+1}}\left(\frac{s}{s+1}\right)^{2 \rho} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we made the substitution $t=a_{n} n^{-2} s$. Then from Lemma 2.3(d), (e) and (2.10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1} \varphi_{n}(x)\left[x\left(a_{n}\left(1+2 L \eta_{n}\right)-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{C}{x n} \frac{n}{\sqrt{x\left(a_{2 n}-x\right)}} \frac{x\left(a_{2 n}-x\right)}{n} \leqslant C .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Estimation of $I_{2}$

Our bound (4.4) on $p_{n, \rho}$ gives

$$
I_{2} \leqslant \frac{C}{a_{n}} \int_{0}^{a_{m}} \frac{\overline{Q(x, t)}}{\sqrt{t\left(a_{m}-t\right)}} d t \leqslant \frac{C}{a_{n}} \sqrt{\frac{x}{a_{m}-x}} \sigma_{m}(x),
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{m}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{a_{m}-x}{x}} \int_{0}^{a_{m}} \frac{\overline{Q(x, t)}}{\sqrt{t\left(a_{m}-t\right)}} d t
$$

is the density of the equilibrium measure of total mass $m$ for the field $Q$. It is shown in [3, (4.10)] that

$$
\sigma_{m}(x) \sim \varphi_{m}^{-1}(x) \quad \text { in }\left[\beta a_{m} m^{-2}, a_{m}\left(1-\alpha \eta_{m}\right)\right]
$$

for any fixed $\beta, \alpha>0$, so

$$
I_{2} \leqslant \frac{C}{\sqrt{a_{m}\left(a_{m}-x\right)}} \varphi_{m}^{-1}(x) .
$$

Here $\eta_{m} \sim \eta_{n}$. Now for small enough $\alpha, \beta$, we saw at (4.2) that this last range contains $\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right]$, while Lemma 2.3(b) and (4.3) show that $\varphi_{m} \sim \varphi_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{2} \varphi_{n}(x)\left[x\left(a_{n}\left(1+2 L \eta_{n}\right)-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \leqslant C I_{2} \varphi_{m}(x)\left[x\left(a_{m}-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \leqslant C .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Estimation of $I_{3}$

Suppose first $x \in\left[a_{\varepsilon n}, a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right]$.
For $t \in\left[a_{n}, a_{2 n}\right]$ there exists $s \in\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{2 n}\right]$ such that

$$
\overline{Q(x, t)}=\left(u Q^{\prime}(u)\right)_{\mid u=s}^{\prime}=s Q^{\prime \prime}(s)+Q^{\prime}(s) .
$$

Here by (1.5),

$$
s Q^{\prime \prime}(s) \leqslant C \frac{s Q^{\prime}(s)^{2}}{Q(s)}=C T(s) Q^{\prime}(s)
$$

and as $T$ is bounded below, while $s Q^{\prime}(s)$ is increasing, we see that

$$
\overline{Q(x, t)} \leqslant C T(s) Q^{\prime}(s) \leqslant C T\left(a_{2 n}\right) Q^{\prime}\left(a_{2 n}\right) \leqslant C \frac{n}{a_{n}} T\left(a_{n}\right)^{3 / 2},
$$

recall (2.3). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & \leqslant C \frac{n}{a_{n}^{2}} T\left(a_{n}\right)^{3 / 2} \int_{a_{m}}^{a_{2 n}} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{t\left(t-a_{n}\right)}} \\
& \leqslant C \frac{n}{a_{n}^{5 / 2}} T\left(a_{n}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(a_{2 n}-a_{n}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant C \frac{n T\left(a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (2.19) gives

$$
I_{3} \varphi_{n}(x)\left[x\left(a_{n}\left(1+2 L \eta_{n}\right)-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \leqslant C .
$$

If instead $x \in\left[\varepsilon a_{n}, a_{\varepsilon n}\right]$, we instead use (2.18) and

$$
\overline{Q(x, t)} \leqslant \frac{\left(a_{2 n} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{2 n}\right)\right)}{a_{m}-x}
$$

## Estimation of $I_{4}$

Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{4} & \leqslant \frac{C}{a_{n}} \int_{a_{2 n}}^{d}\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) \frac{t Q^{\prime}(t)}{t-x} d t \\
& \leqslant \frac{C}{a_{n}\left(a_{2 n}-a_{n}\left(a_{2 n}-x\right)\right)} \int_{a_{2 n}}^{d}\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) t Q^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \leqslant \frac{C n^{\prime}}{a_{2 n}-x}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I} t Q^{\prime}(t)\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) d t=n+\rho+\frac{1}{2} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The latter follows by integrating by parts and using orthogonality. Using (2.19) or (2.18) again, we obtain

$$
I_{4} \varphi_{n}(x)\left[x\left(a_{n}\left(1+2 L \eta_{n}\right)-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \leqslant C .
$$

Finally combining the estimates for $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}$ gives

$$
A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x) \varphi_{n}(x)\left[x\left(a_{n}\left(1+2 L \eta_{n}\right)-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \leqslant C
$$

In proving the lower bounds for $A_{n, \rho}^{\#}$, we need an estimate related to the identity (4.5):
Theorem 4.2. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$. There exists $\alpha>1$ such that uniformly for $r \in[0,2 n]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left[0, a_{2 n}\right] \backslash\left[a_{r / \alpha}, a_{\alpha r}\right]}\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) t Q^{\prime}(t) d t \sim n . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use (4.5) and show that the integrals over $\left[a_{2 n}, d\right)$ and $\left[a_{r / \alpha}, a_{\alpha r}\right]$ are small. Write

$$
\rho=\beta+j
$$

where $\beta \leqslant 0$ and $j$ is a non-negative integer. Then

$$
\int_{a_{2 n}}^{d}\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) t Q^{\prime}(t) d t \leqslant \frac{1}{2} a_{2 n}^{2 \beta} \int_{a_{2 n}}^{d} P(t) \frac{d}{d t}\left(-W^{2}(t)\right) d t
$$

where

$$
P(t)=p_{n, \rho}^{2}(t) t^{1+2 j}
$$

Integrating by parts gives

$$
\int_{a_{2 n}}^{d} P(t) \frac{d}{d t}\left(-W^{2}(t)\right) d t=\left(P W^{2}\right)\left(a_{2 n}\right)+\int_{a_{2 n}}^{d} P^{\prime}(t) W^{2}(t) d t
$$

Because of our bounds on $p_{n, \rho}$, we know that in $\left[0, a_{n}\left(1-\eta_{n}\right)\right],|P| W^{2}$ is bounded by a power of $n$ (recall $a_{n}$ is of polynomial growth). Our restricted range inequality then shows that it is bounded in $I$ by a power of $n$ and moreover Lemma 2.4(b) gives that for some $C>0$,

$$
\left(P W^{2}\right)\left(a_{2 n}\right)=O\left(e^{-n^{c}}\right)
$$

Our Markov-Bernstein inequality Theorem 1.6 then shows that $P^{\prime} W^{2}$ is bounded by a power of $n$ in $I$. The same is then true of the $L_{1}$ norm of $P^{\prime} W^{2}$ over [ $0, a_{2 n}$ ]. Another application of our restricted range inequalities to the weight $W^{2}$ (rather than $W$ ) shows that (at least for large enough $n$ ),

$$
\int_{a_{2 n}}^{d} P^{\prime}(t) W^{2}(t) d t=O\left(e^{-n^{C}}\right)
$$

So

$$
\int_{a_{2 n}}^{d}\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) t Q^{\prime}(t) d t=O\left(e^{-n^{C}}\right)
$$

In view of (4.5), it now suffices to show that given $\eta>0$, there exists $\alpha \in\left(1, \frac{3}{2}\right]$ such that uniformly for $r \in[0,2 n]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a_{r / \alpha}}^{a_{\alpha r}}\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) t Q^{\prime}(t) d t \leqslant \eta n \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note first that it is an easy consequence of (2.8) and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\alpha r}-a_{r / \alpha} \leqslant C \frac{a_{r}}{T\left(a_{r}\right)}\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C$ independent of $r, \alpha$, since $\alpha \in\left[1, \frac{3}{2}\right]$. Moreover, by our bound (1.10) on $p_{n, \rho}$, and by (2.5),

$$
I \leqslant C \int_{a_{r / \alpha}}^{a_{\alpha r}} \frac{t Q^{\prime}(t)}{\sqrt{t\left|a_{n}-t\right|}} d t \leqslant C a_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{r}\right) \int_{a_{r / \alpha}}^{a_{\alpha r}} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{\left|a_{n}-t\right|}} .
$$

If $a_{\alpha r} \leqslant a_{n}$, we continue this as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & \leqslant C a_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{r}\right) \int_{a_{r / \alpha}}^{a_{\alpha r}} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{a_{\alpha r}-t}} \leqslant C a_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{r}\right) \sqrt{a_{\alpha r}-a_{r / \alpha}} \\
& \leqslant C r\left(\alpha-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant C n(\alpha-1)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (4.8) and (2.3). If $a_{\alpha / r}>a_{n}$, we similarly continue this as

$$
I \leqslant C a_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{r}\right) \int_{a_{r / \alpha}}^{a_{\alpha r}} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{t-a_{r / \alpha}}} \leqslant C n(\alpha-1)^{1 / 2}
$$

If $a_{\alpha r}>a_{n}>a_{\alpha / r}$, we continue this as

$$
I \leqslant C a_{r}^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\prime}\left(a_{r}\right) \sqrt{a_{\alpha r}-a_{r / \alpha}} \leqslant C n(\alpha-1)^{1 / 2}
$$

In summary, in all cases, if $\alpha$ is close enough to 1 , we obtain (4.7).
Proof of the Lower Bounds for $A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x)$. Let us write $x=a_{r}$ for $x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2} / L\right.$, $\left.a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right]$. By Lemma 2.2(d), for $t \in\left[0, a_{2 n}\right] \backslash\left[a_{r / \alpha}, a_{r \alpha}\right]$,

$$
\overline{Q(x, t)} \geqslant \frac{C}{|x-t|} \max \left\{t Q^{\prime}(t), x Q^{\prime}(x)\right\} \geqslant \frac{C t Q^{\prime}(t)}{a_{2 n}-x} .
$$

Note that while (2.11) holds for $t$ in a neighbourhood omitting 0 , the last inequality is valid for $n \geqslant n_{0}$ for all $x \in\left[0, a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right]$ (that is, even for $x, t$ near 0$)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{d}\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) \overline{Q(x, t)} d t \\
& \quad \geqslant \frac{C}{x\left(a_{2 n}-x\right)} \int_{\left[0, a_{2 n}\right] \backslash\left[a_{r / \alpha}, a_{\alpha r}\right]}\left(p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right)^{2}(t) t Q^{\prime}(t) d t \\
& \quad \geqslant C \frac{n}{x\left(a_{2 n}-x\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (4.6). So

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x) \geqslant C \frac{n}{x} \frac{1}{a_{2 n}-x} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Firstly if $x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n / 2}\right]$, Lemma 2.3(d) gives as $a_{2 n}-x \sim a_{n}-x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x) \varphi_{n}(x)\left[x\left(a_{n}\left(1+2 L \eta_{n}\right)-x\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \geqslant C \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain a lower bound to match the upper bound for $A_{n, \rho}^{\#}$ that we have already proven. Next, if $x \in\left[a_{n / 2}, a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right]$, we obtain from (4.9) that at least for large $n$,

$$
A_{n, \rho}^{\#}(x) \geqslant C \frac{n}{\left(a_{2 n}-a_{n / 2}\right) a_{n}} \sim \frac{n T\left(a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{2}}
$$

so Lemma 2.3(e) gives (4.10) again.

## 5. The proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3(a), (b)

In the sequel, we shall need Christoffel functions,

$$
\lambda_{n}\left(W_{\rho}^{2}, x\right)=\inf _{\operatorname{deg}(P) \leqslant n-1} \frac{\int_{I}\left(P W_{\rho}\right)^{2}}{P^{2}(x)}
$$

the Christoffel numbers

$$
\lambda_{j n}=\lambda_{n}\left(W_{\rho}^{2}, x_{j n}\right)
$$

and the reproducing kernel

$$
K_{n, \rho}(x, t)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p_{j, \rho}(x) p_{j, \rho}(t)
$$

Lemma 5.1. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$.
(a)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{j n}^{-1}=\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}} p_{n, \rho}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right) p_{n-1, \rho}\left(x_{j n}\right)  \tag{5.1}\\
& p_{n, \rho}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)=\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}} A_{n, \rho}^{\#}\left(x_{j n}\right) p_{n-1, \rho}\left(x_{j n}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left(W_{\rho}^{2}, x\right) \sim \varphi_{n}(x) W^{2}(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{2 \rho} \quad \text { in }\left[0, a_{n}\left(1+L \eta_{n}\right)\right] \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left(W_{\rho}^{2}, x\right) \geqslant C \varphi_{n}(x) W^{2}(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{2 \rho} \quad \text { in } I . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{x_{1 n}}{a_{n}} \sim \eta_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{n n} \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and uniformly in $j, n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n} \leqslant C \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) See [3, (8.5) and Lemma 8.3].
(b) See [3, Theorem 1.3].
(c) See [3, Theorem 1.4].

Next, we prove the upper bound implicit in (1.8).
Lemma 5.2. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$.
(a) For $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in I}\left(p_{n, \rho} W\right)(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho} \leqslant C \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_{n}}} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}} \sim a_{n} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) Now for $x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\left(1-\eta_{n}\right)\right]$, and for large enough $n$, (1.10) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\right| x^{\rho} & \leqslant \frac{C}{\left[x\left(a_{n}-x\right)\right]^{1 / 4}} \\
& \leqslant C \max \left\{\frac{1}{a_{n}^{2} n^{-2}}, \frac{1}{a_{n}^{2} \eta_{n}}\right\}^{1 / 4} \\
& =C a_{n}^{-1 / 2} \max \left\{n^{1 / 2},\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 6}\right\} \leqslant C a_{n}^{-1 / 2} n^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

by (2.6). Then the restricted range inequality Lemma 2.4(c) gives (5.7).
(b) The ideas are standard, but we provide the details. Firstly, from our restricted range inequality and Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$
\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}}=\int_{I} x p_{n, \rho}(x) p_{n-1, \rho}(x) W_{\rho}^{2}(x) d x \leqslant C a_{n}
$$

We proceed to prove a corresponding lower bound. From Definition (1.12) of $\varphi_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}(x) \sim \frac{a_{n}}{n}, \quad x \in\left[\frac{1}{4} a_{n}, \frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right] \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $x_{j n}, x_{j-1, n} \in\left[\frac{1}{4} a_{n}, \frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right]$, Lemma 5.1(c) ensures that

$$
x_{j-1, n}-x_{j n} \leqslant C \frac{a_{n}}{n}
$$

Here $C$ is independent of $j$ and $n$. It then follows that for large enough $n$, the number of zeros of $p_{n, \rho}$ lying in this interval is at least $\geqslant C_{1} n$. (We only have to show that $\left[\frac{1}{4} a_{n}, \frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right]$ contains at least one zero. If not, we easily obtain a contradiction using Lemma 5.1(c).) Recall from Lemma 5.1(a), the identities

$$
\lambda_{j n}^{-1}=\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}} p_{n-1, \rho}\left(x_{j n}\right) p_{n, \rho}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)=\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}} p_{n-1, \rho}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right)^{2} A_{n, \rho}^{\#}\left(x_{j n}\right)
$$

Applying our bound of Theorem 4.1 on $A_{n, \rho}^{\#}$ and also (5.9) gives for $x_{j n} \in\left[\frac{1}{4} a_{n}, \frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right]$,

$$
\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}}\right)^{-2} \leqslant C \lambda_{j n} p_{n-1, \rho}^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right) \frac{n}{a_{n}^{2}}
$$

Adding over the $\geqslant C_{1} n$ zeros $x_{j n} \in\left[\frac{1}{4} a_{n}, \frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right]$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1} n\left(\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}}\right)^{-2} & \leqslant C \frac{n}{a_{n}^{2}} \sum_{x_{j n} \in\left[\frac{1}{4} a_{n}, \frac{1}{2} a_{n}\right]} \lambda_{j n} p_{n-1, \rho}^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right) \\
& \leqslant C \frac{n}{a_{n}^{2}} \int_{I} p_{n-1, \rho}^{2} W_{\rho}^{2}=C \frac{n}{a_{n}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used the Gauss quadrature formula. Hence

$$
\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}} \geqslant C a_{n}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3(a), (b). We use (5.1) and (5.2) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{j n}^{-1}=\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}} p_{n, \rho}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right) p_{n-1, \rho}\left(x_{j n}\right)=p_{n, \rho}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{2} / A_{n, \rho}^{\#}\left(x_{j n}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)=\left[\lambda_{j n}^{-1} W_{\rho}^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right) A_{n, \rho}^{\#}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Substituting the upper bounds for $A_{n, \rho}^{\#}\left(x_{j n}\right)$ from Theorem 4.1 and the lower bounds for $\lambda_{j n}$ from Lemma 5.1(b) in this last expression gives the upper bounds for $\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)$ that are implicit in (1.13). We also use (5.5). When $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$ we also have matching lower bounds for $A_{n, \rho}^{\#}$, so we obtain $\sim$ relations for $\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)$. The identity (5.10) above, in the form

$$
\left|p_{n-1, \rho} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)=\lambda_{j n}^{-1} W_{\rho}^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right) /\left[\frac{\gamma_{n-1, \rho}}{\gamma_{n, \rho}}\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)\right]
$$

and the previous lemma then gives the required estimates for $\left|p_{n-1, \rho} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We already proved the upper bound implicit in (1.8) in Lemma 5.2. For the corresponding lower bound, we use our Markov-Bernstein inequality Theorem 3.2 in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right)\left(x_{n n}\right) \varphi_{n}^{\#}\left(x_{n n}\right)\right| & =\left|\left(p_{n, \rho} W\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{n n}\right) \varphi_{n}^{\#}\left(x_{n n}\right) x_{n n}^{\rho}\right| \\
& \leqslant C \max _{x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right]}\left|\left(p_{n, \rho} W\right)(x) x^{\rho}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $x_{n n} \sim a_{n} n^{-2}$, so Theorem 3.2 is applicable. Substituting the bound (1.13) for $\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{n n}\right)$ proved above and using $\varphi_{n}^{\#}\left(x_{n n}\right) \sim \varphi_{n}\left(x_{n n}\right)$ gives

$$
\max _{x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right]}\left|p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right|(x) \geqslant C \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_{n}}}
$$

Thus we have (1.8). For (1.9), observe from (1.10) that

$$
\left|x p_{n, \rho}(x) W_{\rho}(x)\right| \leqslant C x^{3 / 4}\left(a_{n}-x\right)^{-1 / 4}, \quad x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\left(1-\eta_{n}\right)\right] .
$$

Maximizing the right-hand side over this interval gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho+1}\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant 2\left|x p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right| \leqslant C a_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 6},
\end{aligned}
$$

and then our restricted range inequality Lemma 2.4(a) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in I}\left|p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho+1}\right| \leqslant C a_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 6} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the bound (1.10) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{x \in\left[0, a_{\beta n}\right]}\left|x p_{n, \rho}(x) W(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho}\right| & \leqslant C a_{n}^{3 / 4}\left(a_{n}-a_{\beta n}\right)^{-1 / 4} \\
& \leqslant C a_{n}^{1 / 2} T\left(a_{n}\right)^{1 / 4} \\
& =o\left(a_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 6}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

by (2.6). Next, our Markov-Bernstein inequality Theorem 3.2 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x_{1 n}\left(p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right)\left(x_{1 n}\right) \varphi_{n}\left(x_{1 n}\right)\right| & =\left|\left(p_{n, \rho} W\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{1 n}\right) \varphi_{n}\left(x_{1 n}\right) x_{1 n}^{1+\rho}\right| \\
& \leqslant C \max _{x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right]}\left|\left(p_{n, \rho} W\right)(x) x^{1+\rho}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting in the bounds for $\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{1 n}\right)$ from (1.13), and using

$$
a_{n}-x_{1 n} \sim a_{n} \eta_{n}
$$

gives

$$
\max _{x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right]}\left|x p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right|(x) \geqslant C a_{n}^{1 / 2}\left(n T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / 6}
$$

Combining this, (5.11) and (5.12) gives (1.9).

## 6. Lagrange interpolation polynomials

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.3(c), (d) and 1.4. The most difficult part is the upper bound implicit in (1.15), namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{j n}(x):=\left|\ell_{j n} W\right|(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho} W_{\rho}^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \leqslant C \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C$ independent of $j, n, x$. Since

$$
\Delta_{j n}\left(x_{j n}\right)=\left(\frac{x_{j n}+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}}{x_{j n}}\right)^{\rho} \sim 1
$$

(1.15) follows from (6.1). We begin with two independent bounds for $\Delta_{j n}$. We shall use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}(x):=x\left(a_{n}\left(1+\eta_{n}\right)-x\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.1. Assume that $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$ and $\rho>-\frac{1}{2}$. Uniformly in $j, n$ and $x \in I$,
(a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C\left(\frac{\varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)}{\varphi_{n}(x)}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)}{\left|x-x_{j n}\right|}\left|\frac{\pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)}{\pi_{n}(x)}\right|^{1 / 4} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) We use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality on the identity

$$
\ell_{j n}(x)=K_{n, \rho}\left(x, x_{j n}\right) / K_{n, \rho}\left(x_{j n}, x_{j n}\right)
$$

to deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\ell_{j n} W\right|(x) W_{\rho}^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \\
& \qquad \leqslant\left(\frac{K_{n, \rho}(x, x) W^{2}(x)}{K_{n, \rho}\left(x_{j n}, x_{j n}\right) W_{\rho}^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}^{-1}\left(W_{\rho}, x\right) W^{2}(x)}{\lambda_{n}^{-1}\left(W_{\rho}, x_{j n}\right) W_{\rho}^{2}\left(x_{j n}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the Christoffel function bounds (5.3) and (5.4) to $\Delta_{j n}(x)$ gives the result.
(b) By our bounds for $p_{n}$ from (1.10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n, \rho} W\right|(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho} \leqslant C\left|\pi_{n}(x)\right|^{-1 / 4} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this and the bounds for $\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)$ from (1.13) into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\ell_{j n} W\right|(x)\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho} W_{\rho}^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right)=\frac{\left|p_{n, \rho} W\right|(x)}{\left|x-x_{j n}\right|\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)}\left(x+\frac{a_{n}}{n^{2}}\right)^{\rho} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the result.

We shall also find the following simple observation useful:
Lemma 6.2. There exists $n_{0}$ such that for $n \geqslant n_{0}$ and $s, t \in\left[0, a_{n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\pi_{n}(s)\right| \geqslant 2\left|\pi_{n}(t)\right| \Rightarrow|s-t| \geqslant \frac{\left|\pi_{n}(s)\right|}{4 a_{n}} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Now for $x \in\left[0, a_{n}\right]$,

$$
\left|\pi_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|=\left|a_{n}\left(1+\eta_{n}\right)-2 x\right| \leqslant 2 a_{n}
$$

for $n$ large enough, so if $s, t$ are as above, then for some $\xi$ between $s, t$

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left|\pi_{n}(s)\right| \leqslant\left|\pi_{n}(s)-\pi_{n}(t)\right|=\left|\pi_{n}^{\prime}(\xi)\right||s-t| \leqslant 2 a_{n}|s-t| .
$$

We break down the proof of (6.1) into two lemmas, considering various ranges of $x_{j n}$.
Lemma 6.3. For $x_{j n} \in\left[0, a_{n / 2}\right]$ and $x \in I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We prove the upper bound for $\Delta_{j n}(x)$ separately for two ranges of $x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}\right.$, $\left.a_{n}\left(1-\eta_{n}\right)\right]$. (Then the result follows for all $x$ from the restricted range inequality Lemma 2.4(c).) From Lemma 2.3(d), uniformly in $j$ and $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim \pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{1 / 2} / n . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Recall that $x_{n n} \geqslant C a_{n} n^{-2}$.) We shall substitute this and relevant estimates for $\varphi_{n}(x)$ in (6.3) and (6.4).
(I) $x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{3 n / 4}\right]$

From Lemma 2.3(d),

$$
\varphi_{n}(x) \sim \pi_{n}(x)^{1 / 2} / n
$$

Then our bound (6.3) becomes

$$
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C\left(\frac{\pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)}{\pi_{n}(x)}\right)^{1 / 4}
$$

If $\pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \leqslant 2 \pi_{n}(x)$, we obtain the desired bound. In the contrary case, where $\pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)>$ $2 \pi_{n}(x)$, the previous lemma gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x-x_{j n}\right| \geqslant \frac{\left|\pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right|}{4 a_{n}} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so (6.4) becomes, with the aid of (6.9),

$$
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left[\pi_{n}(x) \pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right]^{-1 / 4} \leqslant C \frac{a_{n}}{n} \pi_{n}(x)^{-1 / 2} \leqslant C
$$

as $\pi_{n}$ attains its minimum over $\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{3 n / 4}\right]$ at $a_{n} n^{-2}$, and that minimum $\sim a_{n}^{2} n^{-2}$.
(II) $x \in\left[a_{3 n / 4}, a_{n}\left(1-\eta_{n}\right)\right]$

For this range of $x$, Lemma 2.3(e) gives

$$
\varphi_{n}(x) \sim \pi_{n}(x)^{-1 / 2} \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right)} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\pi_{n}(x) \sim a_{n}\left(a_{n}\left(1+\eta_{n}\right)-x\right) \leqslant a_{n}\left(a_{n}\left(1+\eta_{n}\right)-a_{3 n / 4}\right)
$$

so

$$
\pi_{n}(x) \leqslant C_{1} \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{T\left(a_{n}\right)}
$$

(Recall (2.9) and that $\eta_{n}=o\left(1 / T\left(a_{n}\right)\right)$.) Then if

$$
\pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \leqslant 2 C_{1} \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{T\left(a_{n}\right)}
$$

we obtain from (6.3) and (6.9) that

$$
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C\left(\pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{1 / 2} \pi_{n}(x)^{1 / 2} \frac{T\left(a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant C_{2}
$$

In the contrary case, where

$$
\pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)>2 C_{1} \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{T\left(a_{n}\right)} \geqslant 2 \pi_{n}(x)
$$

the previous lemma gives (6.10) again, and hence as above, (6.4) gives

$$
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left[\pi_{n}(x) \pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right]^{-1 / 4}
$$

Now for the current range of $x$, we have as usual,

$$
\pi_{n}(x) \geqslant \min \left\{\pi_{n}\left(a_{3 n / 4}\right), \pi_{n}\left(a_{n}\left(1-\eta_{n}\right)\right)\right\} \sim a_{n}^{2} \eta_{n}
$$

so

$$
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left[a_{n}^{2} \eta_{n} \cdot \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{T\left(a_{n}\right)}\right]^{-1 / 4}=C\left[\frac{T\left(a_{n}\right)}{n^{2}}\right]^{5 / 12} \leqslant C
$$

by (2.6).
Lemma 6.4. For $x_{j n} \in\left[a_{n / 2}, d\right)$ and $x \in I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that for some $M>0$ and large enough $n, x_{1 n} \leqslant a_{n}\left(1-M \eta_{n}\right)$. Then for $x_{j n} \geqslant a_{n / 2}$, Lemma 2.3(e) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim \pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{-1 / 2} \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right)} . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall substitute this and relevant estimates for $\varphi_{n}(x)$ in (6.3) and (6.4), for two different ranges of $x$.
(I) $x \in\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n / 4}\right]$

From Lemma 2.3(d),

$$
\varphi_{n}(x) \sim \pi_{n}(x)^{1 / 2} / n
$$

Moreover,

$$
\left|x-x_{j n}\right| \geqslant a_{n / 2}-a_{n / 4} \geqslant \frac{C a_{n}}{T\left(a_{n}\right)} .
$$

From (6.4),

$$
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C \frac{a_{n}}{n}\left[\pi_{n}(x) \pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)\right]^{-1 / 4}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \geqslant C a_{n}^{2} \eta_{n}, \\
& \pi_{n}(x) \geqslant C \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{n^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C\left\{\frac{T\left(a_{n}\right)}{n^{2}}\right\}^{1 / 6} \leqslant C
$$

by (2.6).
(II) $x \in\left[a_{n / 4}, a_{n}\left(1-\eta_{n}\right)\right]$

For this range of $x$, Lemma 2.3(d) gives

$$
\varphi_{n}(x) \sim \pi_{n}(x)^{-1 / 2} \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right)} .
$$

Here (6.3) becomes

$$
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant C\left(\frac{\pi_{n}(x)}{\pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)}\right)^{1 / 4}
$$

If $\pi_{n}(x) \leqslant 2 \pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)$, the result follows. In the contrary case, Lemma 6.2 gives

$$
\left|x-x_{j n}\right| \geqslant \frac{\pi_{n}(x)}{4 a_{n}}
$$

so (6.4) becomes

$$
\Delta_{j n}(x) \leqslant \frac{C}{\pi_{n}(x)^{5 / 4} \pi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{1 / 4}} \frac{a_{n}^{3}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right)} \leqslant \frac{C}{\left(a_{n}^{2} \eta_{n}\right)^{3 / 2}} \frac{a_{n}^{3}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right)} \leqslant C,
$$

by definition of $\eta_{n}$.
With the proof of (6.1), and hence Theorem 1.3(c) complete, we turn to an auxiliary result for Theorem 1.3(d):

Lemma 6.5. Let $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}\right)$. Uniformly in $j, n$ and for $x \in\left[x_{j+1, n}, x_{j n}\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ell_{j n} W_{\rho}\right)(x) W_{\rho}^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right)+\left(\ell_{j+1, n} W_{\rho}\right)(x) W_{\rho}^{-1}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right) \sim 1 \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From [3, Lemma 7.5], for $x \in\left[x_{j+1, n}, x_{j n}\right]$

$$
\left(\ell_{j n} W\right)(x) W^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right)+\left(\ell_{j+1, n} W\right)(x) W^{-1}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right) \geqslant 1 .
$$

Note that uniformly in $j$ and $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j+1, n} \sim x_{j n} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed from (5.6) and then (2.20), (1.18) and (2.17),

$$
0 \leqslant \frac{x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n}}{x_{j+1, n}} \leqslant C \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right)}{x_{j+1, n}} \sim \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right)}{x_{j+1, n}} \sim \frac{\varphi_{n}^{\#}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right)}{x_{j+1, n}} \leqslant C
$$

So we have (6.14) and hence

$$
\left(\ell_{j n} W_{\rho}\right)(x) W_{\rho}^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right)+\left(\ell_{j+1, n} W_{\rho}\right)(x) W_{\rho}^{-1}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right) \geqslant C .
$$

The corresponding upper bound follows from Theorem 1.3(c).
Proof of Theorem 1.4. We already know from Lemma 5.1 that uniformly in $j, n$

$$
x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n} \leqslant C \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right)
$$

and must prove the corresponding lower bound. First note from our Markov-Bernstein inequality Theorem 3.2 and since $\varphi_{n} \sim \varphi_{n}^{\#}$ in $\left[x_{n n}, d\right)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\ell_{j n} W\right)^{\prime}(x) \varphi_{n}(x) x^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}\left[x_{n n}, d\right)} W_{\rho}^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant C\left\|\left(\ell_{j n} W\right)(x) x^{\rho}\right\|_{L_{\infty}\left[a_{n} n^{-2}, a_{n}\right]} W_{\rho}^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \leqslant C_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $C_{1}$ independent of $j, n$. Then for some $\xi$ between $x_{j n}$ and $x_{j+1, n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\left(\ell_{j n} W\right)\left(x_{j n}\right) W^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right)-\left(\ell_{j n} W\right)\left(x_{j+1, n}\right) W^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right) \\
& =\left(\ell_{j n} W\right)^{\prime}(\xi) W^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left(x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n}\right) \\
& \leqslant C \varphi_{n}(\xi)^{-1} \xi^{-\rho} x_{j n}^{\rho}\left(x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since Lemma 2.3(f) shows that

$$
\varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim \varphi_{n}(\xi) \sim \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad x_{j n} \sim \xi
$$

(cf. (6.14)) we obtain the required lower bound.
Proof of Theorem 1.3(d). From Lemma 6.5, for $x \in\left[x_{j+1, n}, x_{j n}\right]$, (and recall, if necessary, the expression (6.6) for $\ell_{j n}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right|(x)\left\{\frac{1}{\left|x-x_{j n}\right|\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)}+\frac{1}{\left|x-x_{j+1, n}\right|\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j+1, n}\right)}\right\} \sim 1 . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we know from Lemma 2.3 that

$$
\varphi_{n}\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim \varphi_{n}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right) .
$$

We also claim that uniformly in $j$ and $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}-x_{j n} \sim a_{n}-x_{j+1, n} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once we have this claim, Theorem 1.3(a) and (6.14) give

$$
\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right) \sim\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j+1, n}\right)
$$

so we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|p_{n, \rho} W_{\rho}\right|(x) & \sim\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right)\left\{\frac{1}{\left|x-x_{j n}\right|}+\frac{1}{\left|x-x_{j+1, n}\right|}\right\}^{-1} \\
& \sim\left|p_{n, \rho}^{\prime} W_{\rho}\right|\left(x_{j n}\right) \min \left\{\left|x-x_{j n}\right|,\left|x-x_{j+1, n}\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting in (1.13), gives (1.16). We turn to the proof of (6.16): from (5.6),

$$
0 \leqslant 1-\frac{a_{n}-x_{j n}}{a_{n}-x_{j+1, n}}=\frac{x_{j n}-x_{j+1, n}}{a_{n}-x_{j+1, n}} \leqslant C \frac{\varphi_{n}\left(x_{j+1, n}\right)}{a_{n}-x_{j+1, n}} .
$$

If $x_{j+1, n} \leqslant a_{n / 2}$, we continue this using (2.18) as

$$
\leqslant C \frac{\sqrt{x_{j+1, n}}}{n}\left(a_{n}-x_{j+1, n}\right)^{-1 / 2} \leqslant C \frac{\sqrt{x_{j+1, n}}}{n} \sqrt{\frac{T\left(a_{n}\right)}{a_{n}} \leqslant C . . . . . .}
$$

If $x_{j+1, n}>a_{n / 2}$, we continue this using (2.19) as

$$
\leqslant \frac{C}{\left(a_{n}-x_{j+1, n}\right)^{3 / 2}} \frac{a_{n}^{3 / 2}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right)} \leqslant \frac{C}{\left(a_{n} \eta_{n}\right)^{3 / 2}} \frac{a_{n}^{3 / 2}}{n T\left(a_{n}\right)}=C .
$$

## 7. Sharpness of the Markov inequality

We prove the sharpness of the Markov inequality (1.21) in $L_{2}$ and with $\beta=0$ :
Theorem 7.1. Let $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ denote the orthonormal polynomials for the weight $W^{2}$, where $W \in \mathcal{L}\left(C^{2}+\right)$. Then for $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{n}^{\prime \prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)} \sim \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}}\left\|p_{n}^{\prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By the Gauss quadrature formula, and then (1.13) and (5.3), followed by (1.12),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|p_{n}^{\prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)}^{2} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j n} p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{j n}\right)^{2} \\
& \sim \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_{n}^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right)\left[x_{j n}\left(a_{n}-x_{j n}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2} \\
& \sim n \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_{j n}\left(a_{2 n}-x_{j n}\right)} \\
& =\frac{n}{a_{2 n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{x_{j n}}+\frac{1}{a_{2 n}-x_{j n}}\right) \\
& =: \Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2} \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\Sigma_{1}=-\frac{n}{a_{2 n}} \frac{p_{n}^{\prime}(0)}{p_{n}(0)}
$$

By our Markov inequality (1.21) and our bound (1.8) on $p_{n}$,

$$
\left|p_{n}^{\prime}(0)\right| \leqslant C \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}}\left\|p_{n} W\right\|_{L_{\infty}(I)} \leqslant C \frac{n^{5 / 2}}{a_{n}^{3 / 2}}
$$

while as $\left|p_{n}\right|$ is convex in $\left(-\infty, x_{n n}\right)$,

$$
\left|p_{n}(0)\right| \geqslant\left|p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n n}\right)\right| x_{n n} \sim \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_{n}}}
$$

by (1.13) and (5.5). Then

$$
\left|\Sigma_{1}\right| \leqslant C \frac{n^{3}}{a_{n}^{2}}
$$

In the other direction, we can use just a single term in $\Sigma_{1}$ :

$$
\Sigma_{1} \geqslant \frac{n}{a_{2 n}} \frac{1}{x_{n n}} \sim \frac{n^{3}}{a_{n}^{2}}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{1} \sim \frac{n^{3}}{a_{n}^{2}} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we estimate $\Sigma_{2}$. Let

$$
x_{0 n}=x_{1 n}+a_{n} \eta_{n}
$$

By our spacing of zeros, namely (1.17),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{2} & \sim \frac{n}{a_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{j-1, n}-x_{j n}\right) \frac{\varphi_{n}^{-1}\left(x_{j n}\right)}{a_{2 n}-x_{j n}} \\
& \leqslant C \frac{n}{a_{n}} \int_{0}^{x_{0 n}} \frac{d x}{\varphi_{n}(x)\left(a_{2 n}-x\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

recall (2.20). We continue thus using (1.12) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{2} & \sim \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}} \int_{0}^{x_{0 n}} \frac{\sqrt{a_{2 n}-x+a_{n} \eta_{n}}}{\left(a_{2 n}-x\right)^{2}} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{x+a_{n} n^{-2}}} \\
& \leqslant C \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{n}^{-3 / 2} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{x+a_{n} n^{-2}}}+a_{n}^{-1 / 2} \int_{\frac{1}{2} a_{n}}^{a_{n / 2}} \frac{d x}{\left(a_{n}-x\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
\quad+a_{n}^{-1 / 2} \int_{a_{n / 2}}^{x_{0 n}} \frac{\sqrt{a_{2 n}-a_{n / 2}}}{\left(a_{2 n}-x\right)^{2}} d x
\end{array}\right] \\
& \leqslant C \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}}\left[a_{n}^{-1}+a_{n}^{-1 / 2}\left(a_{n}-a_{n / 2}\right)^{-1 / 2}+a_{n}^{-1 / 2} \frac{\sqrt{a_{2 n}-a_{n / 2}}}{a_{2 n}-a_{n}}\right] \\
& \leqslant C \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}^{2}} T\left(a_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}=o\left(\frac{n^{3}}{a_{n}^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have used (2.9) and (2.6). This last relation, (7.2) and (7.3) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|p_{n}^{\prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)}^{2} \sim \frac{n^{3}}{a_{n}^{2}} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we obtain a lower bound for the norm of $p_{n}^{\prime \prime} W$. As $p_{n}^{\prime}$ has opposite sign at $x_{n n}$ and $x_{n-1, n}$, and $W^{-1}$ is bounded near 0 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n n}\right)\right| & <\left|p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n n}\right)-p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n-1, n}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{x_{n n}}^{x_{n-1, n}} p_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right| \\
& \leqslant C\left(x_{n-1, n}-x_{n n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{x_{n n}}^{x_{n-1, n}}\left(p_{n}^{\prime \prime} W\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& <C\left(x_{n-1, n}-x_{n n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\|p_{n}^{\prime \prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)}
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|p_{n}^{\prime \prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)}^{2} & >C\left|p_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{n n}\right)\right|^{2}\left(x_{n-1, n}-x_{n n}\right)^{-1} \\
& \geqslant C \varphi_{n}\left(x_{n n}\right)^{-3}\left(x_{n n} a_{n}\right)^{-1 / 2} \sim n^{7} a_{n}^{-4},
\end{aligned}
$$

by (1.13), (1.17) and (1.12). This and (7.4) give

$$
\left\|p_{n}^{\prime \prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)}^{2} /\left\|p_{n}^{\prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)}^{2} \geqslant C n^{4} a_{n}^{-2}
$$

that is,

$$
\left\|p_{n}^{\prime \prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)} \geqslant C \frac{n^{2}}{a_{n}}\left\|p_{n}^{\prime} W\right\|_{L_{2}(I)}^{2}
$$

The converse direction is an immediate consequence of the Markov inequality (1.21).
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